UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

SIDNEY KEYS, SR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	No. 4:24-cv-413 SRW
PRESBYTERIAN CHILDREN'S HOME AND SERVICES and LAURENCIA FORD)	
STIKES, Defendants.))	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon review of the file. On May 16, 2024, the Court denied Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this matter because his motion did not comply with the Local Rules of this Court or the federal statute under which it could be granted. ECF No. 6. However, the Court gave Plaintiff thirty (30) days to either file a new motion or pay the full filing fee, if he wanted this action to proceed. The Court cautioned Plaintiff that his failure to timely comply with the Order would result in the dismissal of this case without further notice. *Id.* Plaintiff's response was due by June 17, 2024.

To date, Plaintiff has neither responded to the Court's Order, nor sought additional time to do so. Local Rule 2.01 authorizes the Clerk of Court to refuse to receive any pleadings "until the applicable statutory fee is paid, except in cases accompanied by a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis." E.D.Mo. L.R. 2.01(B)(1). Plaintiff had neither paid the filing fee nor submitted a motion to proceed without prepayment. Plaintiff was given meaningful notice of what was expected, he was cautioned that his case would be dismissed if he failed to timely comply, and he was given ample time to comply. The Court will therefore dismiss this action, without

prejudice, due to Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's May 16, 2024, Order and his failure to prosecute his case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *see also Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (the authority of a court to dismiss *sua sponte* for lack of prosecution is inherent power governed "by the control necessarily vested in courts to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases"); *Brown v. Frey*, 806 F.2d 801, 803 (8th Cir. 1986) (a district court has the power to dismiss an action for the plaintiff's failure to comply

Accordingly,

with any court order).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. A separate order of dismissal will be entered herewith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel [ECF No. 3] is **DENIED as moot**.

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED that an appeal from this dismissal would not be taken in good faith.

Dated this 28th day of June, 2024.

CATHERINE D. PERRY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE